A novel *Brassica*–rhizotron system to unravel the dynamic changes in root system architecture of oilseed rape under phosphorus deficiency
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**INTRODUCTION**

In plants, phosphorus (P) is a structural element of nucleic acids, enzymes, phosphoproteins and phospholipids, and is involved in energy transfer, enzyme reactions, photosynthesis and carbon partitioning (Marschner, 2012). Plant uptake of P is mainly as inorganic phosphate (Pi), which is typically present at concentrations <10 µM in the soil solution and limited by slow rates of diffusion and mass flow (Biesleki, 1973). In soils, Pi availability to plant roots is limited by strong binding with iron–aluminium oxides in acid environments and by carbonates in calcareous soils (Raghothama, 1999). Strategies for cultivating plants under low soil Pi availability include those aimed at improving P utilization, and enhancing the acquisition or uptake of P (Vance, 2001), for example by increasing root growth or altering root system architecture (RSA) for efficient root foraging (White et al., 2002; Malamy, 2005; Peret et al., 2011). The RSA of common bean and soybean is shallow at low P (Bonser et al., 1996; Lynch and Brown, 2001, 2008; Rubio et al., 2003). In maize (Mollier and Pellerin, 1999; Peng et al., 2012) and rice (Fang et al., 2009; Zhu et al., 2011; Rose et al., 2012; Wu et al., 2013), more adventitious roots are produced under P-deficient conditions. These alternations of RSA traits enhance plant acquisition of P.

Oilseed rape (*Brassica napus*) is one of the most important oil crops globally, grown on 36-5 Mha (FAO, http://faostat.fao.org/, 2013). In China, which is the world’s leading producer of oilseed rape, 50–70 % of oilseed rape cultivated land (approx. 7.52 Mha) in Hubei, Sichuan, Hunan, Anhui, Jiangsu and Henan Provinces is severely P-deficient (Yan et al., 2006). Under such conditions, oilseed rape growth is inhibited, with purpling of cotyledons and with older leaves becoming dark green at the seeding stage. At maturity, plants have fewer branches and seed setting is low (Ding et al., 2012; Shi et al., 2013a, b). Application of P fertilizers increases the number of plant branches, pod number per plant, seeds per pod and 1000-seed weight (Cheema et al., 2001). In *B. napus* roots, primordia and lateral roots are stimulated and primary root growth is
reduced under P-stress at the seedling stage (Akhatar et al., 2008; Yang et al., 2010; Shi et al., 2013b). However, root growth is maintained relative to shoot growth, resulting in increasing root/shoot biomass ratios during early flowering and ripening (Ukrainetz et al., 1975; Hermans et al., 2006). *Brassica napus* cultivars with high physiological P use efficiency (PPUE) have been shown to have longer lateral roots than those cultivars with low PPUE under P-stress (Akhatar et al., 2008). In addition, the plant P concentration and the diffusion coefficient of Pi increased at low P by release of large amounts of P-mobilizing root exudates, such as citrate, malate or oxalate (Hoffland et al., 1989; Zhang et al., 1997; Pearse et al., 2006; Wang et al., 2015).

The effect of P deficiency on root development and its correlation with plant shoot growth during whole growth of oilseed rape has not yet been reported in detail, potentially for two reasons. First, it is difficult to observe roots in the field non-destructively. Second, the growth period (e.g. approx. 250 d in Wuhan) makes repeated observations extremely challenging. The aim of this study was to investigate the root development and RSA of oilseed rape under low and high soil P conditions during an entire growth cycle. This study sought to employ conditions similar to real field environment, and to determine the effects of P applied in the top soil (0–20 cm) on the root growth of oilseed rape at different soil depth. Given the difficulties of studying plant root development and RSA in field soils during an entire growth cycle (Nagel et al., 2012; Fender et al., 2013), a large rhizotron system was designed and deployed.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Plant material**

The oilseed rape (*Brassica napus* L.) cultivar used in this study was ‘Zhongshuang11’, a double low (low glucosinolate, low erucic acid), semi-winter, commercial cultivar with high potential seed yields, which is grown widely in the middle and lower reaches of the Yangzi river in China.

**Soil type**

The soil used in this study was a grey purple sandy soil, derived from sandy shale, and collected from XinZhou district (Wuhan, China, 28°42′N, 112°33′E). The basic agrochemical properties on a dry soil basis were: pH (1 : 1 H2O, w/v) 7.7, organic carbon (dichromate oxidation method) 1.33 g kg⁻¹, total nitrogen (kjeldahl acid-digestion method) 0.25 g kg⁻¹, available nitrogen (alkali-hydrolysable nitrogen) 12.7 mg kg⁻¹, total P 0.072 g kg⁻¹, available P (Olsen-P) 4.0 mg kg⁻¹ and hot water soluble boron (HWSB) 0.10 mg kg⁻¹. The methods are described by Shi et al. (2013b) and Wang et al. (2015).

**Experimental design**

A total of 36 rhizotrons were used in this study. Each rhizotron comprised a container made of polyvinyl chloride (PVC) sheets, whose rear side was a transparent polycarbonate plate. The container was 670 mm wide, 180 mm deep and 1000 mm high, giving a volume of approx. 118 L. A hollow steel tube (50 mm × 7 mm) was used to support the rhizotron, with the tubes fixed to grooves on two parallel walls made of concrete and brick. The rear side of the rhizotron was leant against the steel tube at approx. 15° from the vertical, which allowed the roots grow along the polycarbonate plate. A black blow moulding board was attached to the outside of the polycarbonate plate to create a dark environment for root growth (Fig. 1).

Initially, each rhizotron was filled with 120 kg of the same dry soil without fertilizer. Soil was sieved to 4 mm. Then, a further 30 kg of the treated soils was added to each rhizotron so that 18 units received 5 mg P₂O₅ kg⁻¹ soil (low phosphorus, LP) or 150 mg P₂O₅ kg⁻¹ soil (high phosphorus, HP). The depth of topsoil with the contrasting P treatments was approx. 200 mm. Ground fertilizers consisting of 200 mg kg⁻¹ N [(NH₄)₂SO₄], 150 mg kg⁻¹ K₂O (KH₂PO₄) and 250 mg kg⁻¹ MgSO₄·7H₂O, respectively, were mixed evenly with topsoil. Next, 30 mL of micronutrient solution with 2.84 g L⁻¹ H₃BO₃, 1.80 g L⁻¹ MnCl₂·4H₂O, 0.22 g L⁻¹ ZnSO₄·7H₂O, 0.08 g L⁻¹ CuSO₄·5H₂O and 0.024 g L⁻¹ Na₃MoO₄·2H₂O plus 30 mL of 0.05 mmol L⁻¹ Fe-EDTA was applied uniformly to the topsoil. Finally, each rhizotron was irrigated pre-sowing with 6 litres of distilled H₂O. The bulk density of soil was 1.4 g cm⁻³ and the gravimetric moisture content was 120 g kg⁻¹. A groove in the topsoil 60 cm long, 1 cm wide, 2 cm deep and 2 cm from the glass plate was made in each rhizotron, and 30 seeds were sown evenly in the groove and covered lightly with soil. Each rhizotron was covered with a thin plastic film until the seeds germinated (approx. 2 d). The seeds were sown on 23 October 2013. Two weeks after germination, seedlings were thinned to nine plants per rhizotron, and after a further 3 weeks, thinned to three plants per rhizotron.

The rhizotrons were arranged in a fully randomized design with three replications. A total of 27 plants at the seedling stage and nine plants during the budding, bolting, flowering, silique and ripening stages of each treatment were sampled respectively. Because P deficiency delayed the reproductive growth period of oilseed rape, sampling times at LP were delayed by 22 d at budding stage, 6 d at bolting stage and 1 d at flowering stage.

**RSA analysis and data collection**

(1) Polycarbonate plate root system architecture Before sampling, the black blow moulding boards attached to the polycarbonate plate were removed and polyester paper (670 mm wide × 1000 mm long) was attached to the glass plate. The root morphology was then traced with a marker of 0.2 mm width (Creative Wealth Stationery Co., Ltd, Shaoguan, Guangdong, China). The whole root and two edges of the wider roots were all traced. Each sheet of polyester paper was then scanned with an A0 size scanner (SmartLF GX +42C, Colortrac, Cambridge, UK) and a greyscale image was taken at a resolution of 400 dpi. Images were saved in JPG format and then converted to BMP format by image binarization with ArcMap V9 software (ArcGIS, Environmental Systems Research Institute, Inc., Redlands, CA, USA). Total root length (TRL, m) and the number of root tips (RTN) were determined with the WinRHIZO program (Regent Instruments Inc., Quebec, Canada). Root length from the top to the bottom of each image
was calculated in 5-cm sections, and polycarbonate plate root length density (RLD, mm mm⁻²) was determined from root length (mm)/analysed area (mm²).

(2) Excavated root system architecture After shoots were sampled, the polycarbonate plate was removed. The entire root system was taken out of the soil carefully and cleaned with tap water once and distilled H₂O twice immediately. Root diameter distributions were measured using Vernier calipers (Everpower-557115, Lishui, Guangdong, China). First, lateral roots were cut from the main root. For each lateral root, the diameter was measured at the point of intersection with the main root in three rotational positions. Then, the root was put in a clear perspex tray with a film of distilled H₂O and scanned with a modified flatbed scanner (Epson V700, Nagano-ken, Japan) at 400 dpi. Larger root systems were divided into several sections and scanned one-by-one. The images of roots were analysed with WinRHIZO software.
Agronomic traits

(1) Biomass measurement From seedling to flowering stages, the plants were divided into shoot, (mature) hypocotyl and root, respectively, and the samples were cleaned with distilled H2O. Because almost all the leaves had senesced at silique stage, typical of oilseed rape, the shoot was divided into pod and straw at silique stage; and straw, pericarp and seed at harvest stage. Samples were oven-dried at 105°C for 30 min, then at 65°C for 48 h, to constant mass. Dried samples were weighed and ground to a powder for P determination in a micro plant grinding machine (Taisite-FZ102, Jinghai, Tianjin, China).

(2) Seed-yield and yield-related traits Plant height (PH) and branch number (BN) per plant were measured before harvest. Stems were then cut, and pod number (PN) per plant and pod number of main inflorescence (PNM) were counted. Twenty-five siliques from each plant were sampled randomly and seed numbers were counted. After a subsequent ripening period (typically 2 weeks), all siliques from each plant were threshed and total seed yield and 1000-seed weight were determined.

(3) Determination of tissue P concentration A micro-Kjeldahl method was used to determine P concentration in plant tissues. First, 0-1 g ground sample and 5 mL 98 % H2SO4 were added into a 50-mL digestion tube and shaken for 10 h. Then, the digestion tube was put in the heating block and digested at 250°C for 2 h with 5–10 drops of H2O2 added. Finally, 4 mL digested solution from each tube was taken out and diluted with 6 mL distilled water to determine P concentration using a continuous-flow injection analyser (AA3, Seal Analytical GmbH, Bran, Germany).

Calculations and analysis

The following equation was used to calculate PPUE according to Hammond et al. (2009):

\[
\text{Physiological P use efficiency (PPUE)} = \frac{\text{Dry weight at HP (DW}_{\text{HP}})}{\text{Tissue P concentration at HP (P}_{\text{high}})} \times \frac{\text{Dry weight at LP (DW}_{\text{LP}})}{\text{Tissue P concentration at LP (P}_{\text{low}})}
\]

Statistical analyses

We used Genstat v16 (VSN International, Oxford, UK) to analyse the data. Analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to identify significant differences (P = 0.05) in the investigated traits among treatments and growth periods. The least significant difference (LSD) test had also been conducted to test for a significant difference in root length density in the same soil depth between LP and HP. Figures were made using Sigma Plot 11 (Systat Software Inc., Chicago, IL, USA).

RESULTS

Large rhizotron system enables efficient phenotyping root system architecture

Polycarbonate plate root system architecture (plate RSA) including TRL and RTN (Fig. 2B, D) showed similar trends to excavated RSA traits (Fig. 2A, C) during the entire growth cycle. Both plate RSA and excavated RSA traits indicated that P deficiency inhibited root development during the entire growth cycle except for TRL and RTN based on plate RSA at silique stage (Fig. 2).

At LP, the maximum values of TRL and RTN of excavated RSA and plate RSA traits occurred at the silique stage (Fig. 2). However, at HP, the maximum values of excavated RSA traits were observed at flowering stage and plate RSA traits at the bolting stage (Fig. 2). Although there was no significant difference observed in RTN of plate RSA traits at LP from budding to flowering stages, an increase in both excavated RSA traits was observed during this period.

RSA of ‘Zhonghuang11’ during growth under contrasting phosphate availabilities

TRL and RTN increased rapidly at HP from the seedling stage and reached a maximum at flowering stage (Fig. 2A, C). These root traits then declined from flowering to silique stages and finally increased slightly from silique to harvest stages. In contrast, TRL and RTN at LP increased much more slowly from seedling to silique stages, and then decreased significantly from silique to harvest stages (Fig. 2A, C). Both TRL and RTN at HP were higher than at LP throughout growth, notably at flowering and ripening stages.

Total root number and root number within each diameter range of 2–5 and 5–10 mm decreased from flowering to silique stages, and then increased from silique to harvest stages at HP (Fig. 3A), but no significant differences were observed in all above-mentioned root traits at LP. Moreover, root numbers within each diameter range, e.g. 2–5, 5–10 and >10 mm, at LP were lower than that at HP for each growth period (Fig. 3A). Roots in the diameter range 2–5 mm accounted for around 50% of the total root number at both LP and HP. In addition, root dry weight (RDW) of each root diameter range of <2, 2–5, 5–10 and >10 mm were greater at HP than at LP in flowering, silique and ripening stages (Fig. 3B). RDW within root diameter range >10 mm accounted for approx. 60% of the total DW at HP and 50% of the total DW at LP.

Dynamic changes in RLD at different soil depths occurred throughout growth in both P treatments (Fig. 4). At the seedling stage, peak RLD occurred at a soil depth of 10 cm in both P treatments. RLD then declined sharply from soil depth of 10–40 cm in both P treatments. RLD at HP was much greater than at LP from soil depths 0–5 cm, but slightly less than at LP from soil depths 30–45 cm (Fig. 4A). From budding to harvest stages, there were two peaks of RLD, one in soil depth of approx. 20 cm and another ranged in soil depths 60–80 cm, under both P conditions (Fig. 4B–F). RLD at HP was greater than at LP in almost all the soil layers from budding to flowering stages. At the silique stage, RLD at LP was slightly greater than at HP from soil depth 0–30 cm. However, there were no
significant differences in RLD between LP and HP treatments from soil depths 30–75 cm at the silique stage (Fig. 4E). At the ripening stage, there was no significant difference in RLD between LP and HP from soil depths 0–15 cm, RLD at HP was slightly higher than that at LP from soil depths 20–80 cm (Fig. 4F).

The average RLD of ‘Zhongshuang11’ at HP increased markedly from 0.015 mm mm⁻² at seedling stage to 0.098 mm mm⁻² at bolting stage. It then declined to 0.058 mm mm⁻² at the silique stage and finally increased to 0.070 mm mm⁻² at the ripening stage. The average RLD at LP increased constantly from 0.012 mm mm⁻² at the seedling stage to 0.064 mm mm⁻² at the silique stage, and it then decreased to 0.053 mm mm⁻² at the ripening stage (Table 1). On the basis of this contrasting P availability in the top soil together with dynamic variation of RLD in different soil depths, the spatial distribution of RSA varied significantly with root development in soil at both LP and HP. These results show that our rhizotron system can be used to identify valuable root traits related to P accessibility.

Root and shoot biomass of ‘Zhongshuang 11’ during growth under contrasting phosphate availabilities

RDW at HP was significantly greater than at LP throughout the entire growth period (Fig. 5A). RDW at HP increased rapidly from seedling to bolting stages and then increased slowly from bolting to silique stages, and finally declined slightly from silique to ripening stages. However, at LP, RDW increased slowly from seedling to flowering stages, then decreased rapidly from flowering to silique stages, and finally increased from silique to ripening stages (Fig. 5A).

Shoot dry weight (SDW) at HP increased rapidly from seedling to bolting stages and then increased more slowly from bolting to silique stages, and finally decreased slightly from silique to ripening stages (Fig. 5B). At LP, SDW increased slowly from seedling to silique stages, then decreased slightly from silique to ripening stages, in contrast to the increased trend of RDW at silique stage (from flowering to ripening stages) at LP (Fig. 5B). At HP, the DW of pod and straw at silique stage and DW of pericarp, seed and straw at ripening stage were greater than that at LP (Fig. 5C).

The root/shoot biomass ratio (R/S ratio) increased considerably from seedling to flowering stages at HP, while at LP, the R/S ratio first declined slightly from seedling to budding stages, then increased from budding to flowering stages. At both LP and HP, R/S ratio declined from flowering to silique stages and finally increased slightly from silique to ripening stages (Fig. 5D). The R/S ratios at LP were significantly greater than those at HP from seedling to bolting stages, and were much less than at HP from silique to ripening stages (Fig. 5D).

Seed yield of ‘Zhongshuang11’ at HP was about three times greater than at LP (Table 2). Almost all the yield components at LP were far less than that at HP, such as number of primary branches per plant (BN), pod number per plant (PN), 1000-seed weight and seed number per pod (SN), yet the height to the first primary branch (FBH) at LP (56.3 ± 1.6 cm) was slight higher than that at HP (48.4 ± 2.5 cm). There was no significant

**Fig. 2.** Dynamics of total root length (A, B) and root tip number (C, D) of ‘Zhongshuang11’ (*Brassica napus* L.) grown in rhizotrons at low (LP) and high (HP) phosphorus treatments. A and C show the root traits excavated from soil. B and D show the root traits traced on the polycarbonate plate. Values are mean of 27 plants at the seedling stage and nine plants during the budding, bolting, flowering, silique and ripening stages. The error bars indicate the standard error of the mean.
Silique Ripening

ranges of 'Zhongshuang11' (at LP were much lower than those at HP (Table 3). During the progression from budding to silique stages, and finally P content increased from seedling to budding stages at LP, then declined slightly from silique to harvest stages. During the entire growth period, both root and (mature) hypocotyl P content at HP was much lower than those at HP (Table 3).

Shoot P concentration at HP decreased from seedling to bolting stages and then increased slightly from bolting to flowering stages (Fig. 6C). In contrast, shoot P concentration at LP decreased sharply from seedling to budding stages, and then continued to decline from budding to flowering stages. Shoot P concentration at HP was greater than at LP from seedling to flowering stages, and shoot P contents at HP were far higher than at LP during the whole growth period except for at early seedling stage. Pod and seed accounted for high proportions of P concentrations and contents at silique and ripening stages (Fig. 6D).

PPUE of roots increased more rapidly at HP than at LP from budding to ripening stages (Fig. 7A). The PPUE of (mature) hypocotyl was much greater at HP than at LP from flowering to ripening stages (Fig. 7B). Shoot PPUE increased from seedling to bolting stages at HP, and then declined slightly from bolting to flowering stages (Fig. 7C). At LP, PPUE of shoot increased much slowly than at HP from seedling to flowering stages. The PPUEs of pod and straw at silique stage and straw and seed at ripening stage were much higher at HP than at LP (Fig. 7D).

**DISCUSSION**

Brassica–rhizotron system

Several approaches for phenotyping RSA from lab to field have been developed. Plants grown in a nutrient solution (Gericke, 1937; Yang et al., 2010), paper culture (Hammond et al., 2009; Yang et al., 2010; Adu et al., 2014; Thomas et al., 2016) or clear gel media (Bengough et al., 2004; Iyer-Pascuzzi et al., 2010; Shi et al., 2013c) can be used to remove the influence of complex soil environments on root growth. Plants cultivated in sand-filled pots or PVC tubes could be used to predict root development of plants in more complex substrates (Zhu et al., 2011). In the field, transparent tubes (mini-rhizotrons) can be used to investigate the roots which touch the tube and so can be well-suited for studying fine roots (Iyer-Pascuzzi et al., 2001). Additionally, wall techniques or root windows can be used to create an observing plane to detect root growth along soil profiles (Polomski and Kuhn, 2002). Other promising technologies, such as X-ray computed tomography or magnetic resonance imaging are promising tools for visualizing plant root systems within their natural soil environment non-invasively (Tracy et al., 2010; Mairhofer et al., 2012).

The overarching characteristic of RSA studies in the field is that it is logistically challenging to adequately assess RSA throughout growth. Utilizing and combining different imaging systems, integrating measurements and image analysis where possible, and amalgamating data will allow researchers to gain a better understanding of root–soil interactions (Downie et al., 2015).

The Brassica–rhizotron system used in this study was specifically designed to satisfy routine evaluation of root growth of oilseed rape in a soil environment throughout an entire growth period (Fig. 1). Whilst most of the roots of oilseed rape would be expected reach the transparent plate due to gravitropism, two or three lateral roots of each plant did not touch the transparent plate. Furthermore, during plant development, the ratio of the roots observed at the transparent plate decreased.

![Lateral root number](image-url)

**Fig. 3. Number (A) and dry weight (B) of lateral roots of different diameter ranges of ‘Zhongshuang11’ (Brassica napus L.) at low (LP) and high (HP) phosphorus treatments, from flowering to ripening stages from excavated soils. A does not include numbers of lateral roots with diameter <2 mm because they are too numerous to calculate. Values are mean of 27 plants at the seedling stage and nine plants during the budding, bolting, flowering, silique and ripening stages. Different lower case letters on right side of the bar denote significant difference (P < 0.05; Fisher’s least significant difference test) within a given diameter class.**

**PPUE of ‘Zhongshuang11’ during growth under contrasting phosphate availabilities**

Root and (mature) hypocotyl P concentration decreased continuously from seedling to ripening stages at both LP and HP (except at budding stage at HP). Additionally, root and (mature) hypocotyl P concentrations at LP were less than those at HP, notably from seedling to late flowering stages (Fig. 6A, B). Root P content increased considerably from seedling to bolting stages, and then declined to silique stage at HP (Table 3). Root P content increased from seedling to budding stages at LP, then progressed slightly from budding to silique stages, and finally declined slightly from silique to harvest stages. During the entire growth period, both root and (mature) hypocotyl P content at LP were much lower than those at HP (Table 3).

**Shoot P concentration at HP decreased from seedling to bolting stages and then increased slightly from bolting to flowering stages** (Fig. 6C). In contrast, shoot P concentration at LP decreased sharply from seedling to budding stages, and then continued to decline from budding to flowering stages. Shoot P concentration at HP was greater than at LP from seedling to flowering stages, and shoot P contents at HP were far higher than at LP during the whole growth period except for at early seedling stage. Pod and seed accounted for high proportions of P concentrations and contents at silique and ripening stages (Fig. 6D).

**PPUE of roots increased more rapidly at HP than at LP from budding to ripening stages** (Fig. 7A). The PPUE of (mature) hypocotyl was much greater at HP than at LP from flowering to ripening stages (Fig. 7B). Shoot PPUE increased from seedling to bolting stages at HP, and then declined slightly from bolting to flowering stages (Fig. 7C). At LP, PPUE of shoot increased much slowly than at HP from seedling to flowering stages. The PPUEs of pod and straw at silique stage and straw and seed at ripening stage were much higher at HP than at LP (Fig. 7D).
However, at both P levels, dynamic changes of TRL and RTN observed on the polycarbonate plate RSA exhibited the same trend as the parameters of excavated RSA during the whole growth stage (Fig. 2). These indicated that our rhizotron system could be used to conduct non-destructive root system phenotyping using polycarbonate plate RSA root parameters as a proxy.

Root system growth

Allen and Morgan (1975) identified two phases of root growth in oilseed rape, one up to anthesis and another 2 weeks after anthesis. Other studies demonstrated that root biomass of oilseed rape progressed to a maximum at late flowering (Gan et al., 2009) or silique stages (Wang et al., 2015) and then decreased. Our study indicated that the maximum value of RDW occurred at the silique stage at HP, but occurred earlier at LP, at the flowering stage (Fig. 5A). However, the DW of lateral roots with diameter <2, 2–5, 5–10 and 10–15 mm was much higher at flowering than at silique stage at both P levels (Fig. 3B). These data indicated that lateral root, rather than primary root, plays a vital role in the construction of root morphology and root biomass. RLD of oilseed rape typically decreases exponentially with soil depth (Yu et al., 2007; Whalley et al., 2008; Liu et al., 2011). In our study, the decrease in RLD was not smooth from bolting to silique stages (Fig. 4), which was probably attributed to the movement and distribution of water and nutrients in the soil affected by irrigation (White and Kirkegaard, 2010; Jin et al., 2015).

Effect of low P on root and shoot growth of oilseed rape

Root and shoot biomass was less under P deficiency throughout the entire growth period. Moreover, the difference between the two P treatments increased during growth (Fig. 5). Lower biomass accumulation could be the result of reduced net
photosynthesis (source limitation), but may also be due to direct negative effects of low P availability on growth (sink limitation). Reductions in root growth of rice under P deficiency were not caused by source limitations, but were due to a more direct effect of low P availability on growth. Even at suboptimal tissue P concentrations of $< 0.7 \text{ mg P g}^{-1} \text{ DW}$, plants are able to produce enough assimilates to sustain growth that is limited directly by low P availability (Wissuwa et al., 2005). In this study, the P concentrations of (mature) hypocotyl, root and shoot were far more than $0.7 \text{ mg P g}^{-1} \text{ DW}$ from seedling to flowering stages (Fig. 6A–C). During the silique and ripening stages, although the straw concentration was less than $0.7 \text{ mg P g}^{-1} \text{ DW}$, there were no significant differences in the straw concentration between LP and HP (Fig. 6D).

The R/S ratio reached a maximum at the flowering stage at both LP and HP. Moreover, the R/S ratios at LP were much higher than that at HP from seedling to bolting stages (Fig. 5D). The increase in R/S ratio under P starvation is due to the increase in partitioning of carbohydrates towards the roots (Fredeen et al., 1989; Hermans et al., 2006; Hammond and White, 2008, 2011). Observations showed that both root and shoot growth are directly affected by Pi availability and that the increase in R/S ratio frequently observed under P deficiency is causally due to P rather than carbohydrate partitioning to roots (Wissuwa et al., 2005). However, in this study, the R/S ratios at LP were much lower than at HP from flowering to ripening stages (Fig. 5D). The reason could be attributed to reduction of RDW and relatively higher increase of SDW at LP as compared with that at HP (Fig. 5A, B). This pattern suggested that root growth is tightly associated with shoot development during the early vegetative period and then the relationship weakens during the reproductive growth stage, which is consistent with previous studies (Snapp and Shennan, 1992; Wells and Eissenstat, 2006).
FIG. 6. P concentration in root (A), (mature) hypocotyl (B) and shoot (C, D) of ‘Zhongshuang11’ (Brassica napus L.) during grown at low (LP) and high (HP) phosphorus treatments. Values are mean of 27 plants at the seedling stage and nine plants during the budding, bolting, flowering, silique and ripening stages. The error bars indicate the standard error of the mean.

TABLE 3. P content of ‘Zhongshuang11’ (Brassica napus L.) at LP (low phosphorus) and at HP (high phosphorus)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Growth stage</th>
<th>Shoot HP</th>
<th>Shoot LP</th>
<th>Root HP</th>
<th>Root LP</th>
<th>Crown HP</th>
<th>Crown LP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Seedling</td>
<td>3.26±0.09a</td>
<td>0.53±0.01b</td>
<td>0.19±0.04a</td>
<td>0.08±0.01b</td>
<td>0.17±0.01a</td>
<td>0.03±0.01b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Budding</td>
<td>105.26±16.97a</td>
<td>12.51±2.89b</td>
<td>16.68±1.08a</td>
<td>3.01±0.33b</td>
<td>4.61±1.34a</td>
<td>0.03±0.08b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bolting</td>
<td>226.30±21.47a</td>
<td>29.14±2.86b</td>
<td>34.45±0.35a</td>
<td>3.53±0.01b</td>
<td>9.20±0.91a</td>
<td>1.30±0.28b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flowering</td>
<td>246.29±19.52a</td>
<td>45.10±5.08b</td>
<td>18.80±2.79a</td>
<td>3.55±1.13b</td>
<td>9.50±0.48a</td>
<td>1.93±0.72b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silique</td>
<td>214.70±16.44a</td>
<td>64.94±2.21b</td>
<td>7.39±0.46a</td>
<td>3.65±0.98b</td>
<td>1.86±0.42a</td>
<td>0.45±0.06b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ripening</td>
<td>291.44±12.45a</td>
<td>165.81±33.57b</td>
<td>9.02±0.01a</td>
<td>1.95±0.82b</td>
<td>0.78±0.01a</td>
<td>0.29±0.05b</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are mean±s.e. of 27 plants at the seedling stage and nine plants during the budding, bolting, flowering, silique and ripening stages. Different lower case letters denote a significant difference (P < 0.05) among treatments.

CONCLUSIONS

Our new large rhizotron system (approx. 118 L) provides an effective and efficient method to study dynamic RSA of oilseed rape across an entire growth period, which therefore helps to bridge the gap between lab and field study of roots. TRL, RTN, RDW and SDW of oilseed rape under P-deficient conditions were reduced throughout growth. Interestingly, P deficiency also showed that root senescence is likely to occur earlier under low P conditions, which is crucial for uptake of water and...

2003; Peng et al., 2010). TRL, RTN and RLD of oilseed rape were reduced under P deficiency across almost all growth stages (Figs 2 and 5; Table 1), and decreased P uptake (Fig. 6) and root growth (Fig. 5), leading to reduced shoot growth (Fig. 5), PPUE of tissues (Fig. 7) and seed yield (Table 2). Large amounts of photosynthate are likely to be transferred preferentially to developing pods on the main inflorescence, rather than to maintain root growth under low P stress at the pod-filling stage (Fig. 5; Table 2).
mineral nutrients and the production of seed yield (Blum, 2005; Foulkes et al., 2009; White et al., 2015).
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