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**SUMMARY**

Neutrophils are the first line of defense against bacterial infections, and the generation of reactive oxygen species is a key part of their arsenal. Pathogens use detoxification systems to avoid the bactericidal effects of reactive oxygen species. Here we demonstrate that the Gram-negative pathogen *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* is susceptible to reactive oxygen species but actively blocks the reactive oxygen species burst using two type III secreted effector proteins, ExoS and ExoT. ExoS ADP-ribosylates Ras and prevents it from interacting with and activating phosphoinositols-3-kinase (PI3K), which is required to stimulate the phagocytic NADPH-oxidase that generates reactive oxygen species. ExoT also affects PI3K signaling with its ADP-ribosyltransferase activity but does not act directly on Ras. A non-ribosylatable version of Ras restores reactive oxygen species production and results in increased bacterial killing. These findings demonstrate that subversion of the host innate immune response requires ExoS-mediated ADP-ribosylation of Ras in neutrophils.

**INTRODUCTION**

Neutrophils are essential immune cells that are rapidly recruited to sites of bacterial infection and are critical for host defense (Döhrmann et al., 2016). Bacteria avoid killing by neutrophils by inhibiting phagocytosis (Andersson et al., 1996; Rooijakkers et al., 2005), escaping the phagosome, detoxifying reactive oxygen species (Aussel et al., 2011), resisting antimicrobial peptides (Kraus and Peschel, 2006), degrading NETs (Buchanan et al., 2006), or killing neutrophils recruited to the site of infection (Sun et al., 2012).

*Pseudomonas aeruginosa* is a major cause of acute, hospital-acquired infections and microbial keratitis, as well as chronic lung infections in cystic fibrosis patients (Lyczak et al., 2002; Roy-Burman et al., 2001; Stapleton and Carnt, 2012). *P. aeruginosa* has a type III secretion system (T3SS), which is a molecular syringe that allows the bacterium to directly inject effector proteins into the cytoplasm of host cells. Type III secretion is linked to increased patient morbidity and mortality in ventilator-associated pneumonia and blood stream infections (El-Solh et al., 2012; Hauser et al., 2002). The T3SS is likewise a crucial virulence factor in animal models of pulmonary and corneal infections, and primarily targets neutrophils (Diaz and Hauser, 2010; Finck-Barbançon et al., 1997; Lee et al., 2005; Sun et al., 2012).

*P. aeruginosa* has four effector proteins at its disposal. Almost all *P. aeruginosa* strains produce ExoT, whereas ExoS and the phospholipase ExoU are for the most part distributed in a mutually exclusive manner, with the majority of strains producing ExoS (Feltman et al., 2001; Toska et al., 2014). A fourth effector, ExoY, appears to play only a minor role in infection (Lee et al., 2005; Sun et al., 2012). ExoS and ExoT are closely related (76% amino acid identity), hetero-bifunctional enzymes, with amino-terminal Rho-GAP and C-terminal ADP-ribosyltransferase activities (Barbieri and Sun, 2004). In animal models of infection, the survival benefit of having a type III secretion system can be attributed almost entirely to the ADP-ribosyltransferase activities of these two effector proteins (Shaver and Hauser, 2004; Sun et al., 2012). However, the molecular mechanism by which ExoS and ExoT prevent clearance by neutrophils remains an open question.

Here we demonstrate that ExoS and ExoT disrupt the signaling pathway responsible for activation and assembly of the phagocytic NADPH oxidase (PHOX). Blocking ROS production is linked to survival in neutrophils in vitro and in a mouse model of corneal infection. Moreover, we present evidence that ExoS interferes with ROS production by ADP-ribosylating Ras. This modification prevents binding of Ras to, and activation of, phosphoinositols-3-kinase (PI3K), which is required for ROS production.

**RESULTS**

**NADPH Oxidase Is Required for P. aeruginosa Clearance**

Neutrophils are the predominant immune cells in *P. aeruginosa* infections (Diaz et al., 2008). A key component of their antimicrobial arsenal is the generation of reactive oxygen species (ROS). We assessed the role of ROS in clearing *P. aeruginosa* infections using mice that are unable to generate ROS due to a mutation in the NADPH oxidase gp91phox subunit, a mouse model of chronic granulomatus disease (Pollock et al., 1995). Corneas...
of C57BL/6 and gp91phox−/− mice were infected with wild-type P. aeruginosa (PAO1) that produce ExoS, ExoT, and ExoY, or with a mutant strain that lacks the essential T3SS inner membrane component PscD (ΔpscD), and therefore cannot assemble a functional T3SS. Corneal opacification, resulting from infiltration of neutrophils into the cornea (Sun et al., 2012), and bacterial load (colony forming units, CFU) were quantified after 24 hr.

Figure 1A shows pronounced corneal opacification in representative C57BL/6 mice infected with the parental P. aeruginosa strain PAO1, but not in mice infected with the ΔpscD T3SS null mutant bacteria. In contrast, corneas of gp91phox−/− mice infected with the ΔpscD mutant strain exhibited severe corneal opacification (Figures 1A and 1B). Consistent with the corneal opacification data, we recovered significantly more PAO1 than the ΔpscD mutant bacteria from infected C57BL/6 mouse corneas (Figure 1C), indicating that the ∆pscD mutant bacteria were being cleared. However, in infected gp91phox−/− corneas, CFU of both the PAO1 and ΔpscD strains were equivalent (no statistical difference). Similar results were obtained with an exoSΔT(A-) strain in which the ADPRT activities of both ExoS and ExoT are inactivated (Figure S1). Figures 1D and 1E show the presence of neutrophils in the corneal stroma of infected gp91phox−/− mice, indicating that there is no defect in neutrophil recruitment in these mice. ROS production is therefore required for bacterial clearance, and the data suggest that the T3SS promotes bacterial survival by inhibiting ROS production by neutrophils.

**Neutrophil ROS Inhibition Is Mediated by the ADPRT Activities of ExoS and ExoT**

To assess if the P. aeruginosa T3SS inhibits neutrophil ROS production, peripheral blood neutrophils from healthy volunteers were infected with PAO1 or with the T3SS null mutant strain (ΔpscD), and ROS production was measured. Neutrophils infected with the ΔpscD mutant strain exhibited robust production of reactive oxygen species compared with wild-type PAO1 (Figure 2A), indicating that P. aeruginosa is able to directly inhibit ROS production in neutrophils in a T3SS-dependent manner. The decrease in ROS production was not due to a defect in phagocytic uptake of PAO1, since uptake of wild-type and T3SS null mutant P. aeruginosa was equivalent under the conditions of this assay (Figure S2A). In addition, the T3SS did not induce neutrophil lysis over the course of the experiment (Figure S2B).

We also examined neutrophils infected with a P. aeruginosa strain that has the intact needle structure, but lacks the effector molecules ExoS, ExoT, and ExoY (∆3TOX). While initial ROS production by neutrophils infected with the ∆3TOX or ∆exoST strain was similar to that seen in neutrophils infected by the ΔpscD mutant, ROS production decreased more rapidly (Figure 2A), suggesting that formation of pores in the phagosome membrane by the translocation apparatus interferes with ROS production. Similarly, the pore-forming toxin streptolysin O was shown to blunt ROS production in Streptococcus pyogenes-infected neutrophils (UCHIYAMA et al., 2015). In support of this, a strain lacking the pore-forming translocator proteins PopB and PopD (∆popBD) replicated the ΔpscD mutant phenotype. We had previously observed that ExoS and ExoT, but not ExoY, are required for PAO1 virulence in a murine model of P. aeruginosa keratitis, and for survival of P. aeruginosa in neutrophils in vitro (Sun et al., 2012). Consistent with those data, a strain lacking only ExoS and ExoT induced ROS production to similar levels as the ∆3TOX mutant strain (Figure 2A). Deletion of exoS or exoT individually did not prevent the block in ROS production (Figure 2B). Taken together, these data indicate that ExoS and ExoT independently block ROS production by infected neutrophils and that there is no role for ExoY.

ExoS and ExoT are highly homologous effectors with a similar domain structure. They each have an N-terminal rho-GAP and C-terminal ADPRT domain. The Rho GAP domains of these effectors are able to directly inhibit GTPases, ERK (Ezrin-Radixin-Moesin) proteins, and...
ROS production by infected neutrophils (Figure 2C). As with the activities of both ExoS and ExoT (exoST(A-)) ROS production by human neutrophils, inactivating the ADPRT activity (A-) was inactivated by point mutations. Whereas Rho-GAP strains in which either the rho-GAP activity (G-) or the ADPRT activity (A-) was inactivated by point mutations inactivating the Rho-GAP (G-) or ADP-ribosyltransferase (ADPRT) activities of ExoS and/or ExoT. A time course representative of at least three independent experiments is shown. See also Figure S2.

Figure 2. ExoS and ExoT ADPRT Activities Inhibit ROS Production in Human Neutrophils

(A–C) ROS production was measured using a chemiluminescent substrate (relative light units, RLU). Neutrophils were infected with: wild-type (PAO1) and a T3SS null mutant (ΔpscD), as well as the following: (A) a strain lacking the translocation apparatus (ΔpopBD), a strain lacking all 3 effectors (ΔSTOX), and a strain lacking exoS and exoT effector genes (ΔexoST); (B) a strain lacking exoS (ΔexoS), a strain lacking exoT (ΔexoT); or (C) strains with chromosomal point mutations inactivating the Rho-GAP (G-) or ADP-ribosyltransferase activities (A-) of ExoS and/or ExoT. A time course representative of at least three independent experiments is shown. See also Figure S2.

vimentin, whereas the only known targets of ExoT are CrkI, CrkII, and phosphoglycerate kinase (Barbieri and Sun, 2004). To assess the individual contributions of these activities to blocking ROS production, we infected neutrophils with P. aeruginosa strains in which either the rho-GAP activity (G-) or the ADPRT activity (A-) was inactivated by point mutations. Whereas Rho-GAP mutations had no effect on the ability of P. aeruginosa to block ROS production by human neutrophils, inactivating the ADPRT activities of both ExoS and ExoT (exoST(A-)) resulted in elevated ROS production by infected neutrophils (Figure 2C). As with the whole-gene deletions, inactivating the ADPRT activities of ExoS or ExoT individually had no effect. ExoS and ExoT therefore act independently to block ROS production in an ADPRT-dependent fashion.

ExoS and ExoT Block NADPH Oxidase Activity by Inhibiting PI3K Signaling

Activation and assembly of NADPH oxidase in neutrophils involves the phosphoinositide 3-kinase (PI3K) signaling pathway (Hawkins et al., 2010). Activation of PI3Kγ leads to phosphorylation and activation of Akt and protein kinase C (PKC), which are needed to phosphorylate the p47phox and p40phox cytosolic components of NADPH oxidase. Once activated, p-p47phox, p-p40phox, and p67phox translocate to the membrane and, in conjunction with activated Rac, interact with p22phox/gp91phox to form the active NADPH oxidase complex (Groemping and Rittinger, 2005). Activation of NADPH oxidase in P. aeruginosa infected neutrophils similarly depends on the PI3K signaling pathway, as ROS production was blocked in the presence of PI3K inhibitors (Figure S3).

To determine whether P. aeruginosa T3SS-effectors interfere with the PI3K signaling pathway, human neutrophils were infected with PAO1 or ΔpscD mutant bacteria, and phosphorylation of Akt and p40phox was examined. Infection of neutrophils with the ΔpscD mutant strain induced Akt and p40phox phosphorylation within 15 min, which was sustained over 60 min (Figure 3A). In marked contrast, PAO1 only induced phosphorylation of Akt and p40phox at the 15 min time point, and to a lesser extent than the ΔpscD mutant bacteria. Together, these findings demonstrate that P. aeruginosa-induced ROS production by human neutrophils requires PI3K and that the T3SS inhibits phosphorylation of Akt and the p40phox subunit of NADPH oxidase, both of which are signaling events downstream of PI3K.

The ADPRT Activities of ExoS and ExoT Disrupt PI3K Signaling and NADPH Oxidase Activation

To examine the role of ExoS and ExoT ADPRT activities on PI3K signaling, human neutrophils were infected with the exoT(A-), exoS(A-), or exoST(A-)/ΔTOX mutants, and after 30 min cell lysates were assayed for phosphorylation of Akt and p40phox. Infection of neutrophils with the exoST(A-) double-mutant strain resulted in phosphorylation of Akt and p40phox, akin to the T3SS null mutant strain. Consistent with the redundant role of ExoS and ExoT in blocking ROS production, strains in which the ADPRT activity of only one of these two effectors had been inactivated, exoST(A-) or exoT(A-), blocked phosphorylation of Akt and p40phox (Figure 3B). As with ROS production, the GAP activities of ExoS and ExoT do not affect the PI3K pathway or the NADPH oxidase complex.

Ras is critical for activation of PI3Kγ-mediated ROS production in neutrophils (Pacold et al., 2000; Suire et al., 2006) and is a known target of ExoS in epithelial cells. The addition of ADP-ribose to Ras by ExoS, both in vitro and in vivo, results in a gel mobility shift (Coburn and Gill, 1991; Ganesan et al., 1999). We found that Ras in lysates from neutrophils infected with wild-type P. aeruginosa exhibited a shift in its mobility, indicating that Ras is ADP-ribosylated (Figures 3A and 3B). This mobility shift was uniquely dependent on the ADPRT activity of ExoS, since ADP-ribosylation of Ras was evident in neutrophils infected with the exoT(A-) mutant strain, but not neutrophils infected with the exoS(A-) strain (Figure 3B). Using purified...
proteins, we confirmed that the mobility shift depends on the presence of both ExoS and residue Arg41 in Ras (Figure 3D). These data are also in agreement with previous studies in epithelial cells, which indicated that Ras is not a target of ExoT (Sun and Barbieri, 2003).

ADP-ribosylation of Ras at Arg41, in vitro, results in a 3-fold slower rate of GDP/GTP exchange compared to unmodified Ras, which led to the proposal that ExoS interferes with Ras signaling by reducing guanine nucleotide exchange (Ganesan et al., 1999). To determine if ExoS reduces the amount of active Ras in infected human neutrophils, the same lysates probed in Figure 3B were also used to purify GTP-bound Ras using the immobilized Ras-binding domain of Raf1. ADP-ribosylation of Ras does not interfere with binding to Raf1 (Ganesan et al., 1999). Total and GTP-bound Ras were detected by western blot. ADP-ribosylation of Ras did not significantly affect the relative amount of GTP-bound Ras in infected neutrophils (averages of five independent experiments are reported in Figure S3). Our finding that all GTP-bound Ras in neutrophils infected with ExoS+ bacteria are ADP-ribosylated was highly reproducible in five experiments. We speculate that this reflects the activation state of Ras near the site of bacterial phagocytosis (and injection of ExoS).

In summary, injected ExoS and ExoT, through their ADPRT activities, disrupt the PI3K signaling pathway in human neutrophils. ADP-ribosylation of Ras is a likely candidate for the block elicited by ExoS, but not by affecting the level of GTP-bound Ras in infected neutrophils.

**ADP-Ribosylation of Ras Interferes with Binding to PI3K**

We hypothesized that instead of interfering with GDP/GTP exchange, ADP-ribosylation of Ras could be blocking the Ras-PI3K interaction that is required for activation of PI3K. In fact, arginine 41 of Ras is close to the Ras-binding domain of PI3K and is oriented toward PI3K in the published crystal structure of the Ras-PI3K complex (Figure 3C) (Pacold et al., 2000). To test this hypothesis, we used recombinant, HA-tagged versions of human, full-length Ras, or Ras(R41K), in vitro and subsequently mixed with purified PI3K. The interaction between Ras and PI3K was probed by immunoprecipitating Ras using an anti-HA tag antibody. PI3K, as well as unmodified and ADP-ribosylated Ras, were detected by western blot. The experiments were repeated three times with similar results. Input and output levels of PI3K were determined by densitometry. The input/output ratio for the untreated control sample was set to 100% and compared to the corresponding ExoS-treatment condition (mean and SD of three independent replicates are noted below each lane). Results were compared by one-way ANOVA with Bonferroni correction (***p < 0.0001). See also Figure S3.

**Intracellular Delivery of R41K Ras Protein into Neutrophils Rescues ROS Production in the Presence of ExoS**

If ADP-ribosylation of Ras by ExoS interferes with activation of the PI3K signaling pathway, we should be able to prevent the ExoS-dependent block in ROS production by introducing...
Figure 4. Tat-Ras(R41K) Rescues ROS Production in Human Neutrophils, Resulting in Increased Killing of P. aeruginosa

(A) Human neutrophils were treated with increasing concentrations of Tat-Ras(R41K) for 30 min, and extracellular protein was degraded by proteinase K. Western blots of cell lysates were probed with antibodies to Ras (which detect endogenous and Tat-Ras(R41K)) or to the HA tag (which detects only Tat-Ras(R41K)) for 30 min, and extracellular protein was degraded by proteinase K. We purified the recombinant Tat-Ras(R41K) fusion protein containing an HA tag from E. coli, or the unrelated Tat-fusion protein, Tat-GFP, did not induce ROS production (Figure S4B). Tat-GFP, unlike Tat-Ras(R41K), did not reverse the ExoS-dependent block in ROS production (Figure S4C). While delivery of wild-type Tat-Ras also resulted in increased ROS production (Figure S4D), this was only significant at the highest concentration tested, arguing that preventing ADP-ribosylation of Ras on Arg41 specifically interfered with the ExoS-dependent block in ROS production.

To determine if the increased ROS production by Tat-Ras(R41K)-treated neutrophils results in increased bacterial killing, we assessed survival using a gentamicin protection assay. As shown in Figure 4C, we recovered approximately one log fewer CFU from neutrophils infected with the ΔpscD or exoST(A-) mutant strains, compared to the PAO1 or exoT(A-) strains. However, CFU recovered from Tat-Ras(R41K)-treated neutrophils infected with the exoT(A-) strain were significantly reduced compared to untreated neutrophils, which is consistent with increased ROS production in the presence of Ras(R41K). Recovered CFU for the exoST(A-) strain was not affected by Tat-Ras or Tat-Ras(R41K), indicating that the effect of the Tat-Ras(R41K) fusion protein on survival of phagocytosed P. aeruginosa is specific to the ADPRT activity of ExoS. Taken together, our data demonstrate that ADP-ribosylation of Ras at Arg41 specifically interfered with the ExoS-dependent block in ROS production.

DISCUSSION

ROS production by neutrophils plays a major role in pathogen clearance, which is highlighted by chronic granulomatous disease patients (CGD), who have genetic defects that inactivate the phagocytic NADPH oxidase (Heyworth et al., 2003). While P. aeruginosa is not among the most common pathogens afflicting CGD patients, epidemiological data suggest that this patient group is also more susceptible to P. aeruginosa infections (Liese et al., 2000; Soler-Palacín et al., 2007; Winkelstein et al., 2000). Using CGD mice that do not express gp91, we found that ROS production is needed to control P. aeruginosa replication in the cornea. Moreover, we demonstrate here that the T3SS is not required for survival in the cornea in CGD mice, despite massive influx of neutrophils to the site of infection. This finding indicates that an important function of the T3SS is to prevent ROS production by neutrophils. Indeed, we found that P. aeruginosa ExoS and ExoT can each independently block ROS production in neutrophils. Inhibition or ROS production depends on the

Ras(R41K) into neutrophils. We used Tat peptide-mediated cellular delivery to introduce Ras(R41K) into primary human neutrophils. The HIV Tat-derived peptide is a cell penetrating peptide (CPP) that can deliver proteins into cells (Zhao and Weisleder, 2004).

We purified the recombinant Tat-Ras(R41K) fusion protein containing an HA tag from E. coli, and introduced it into human neutrophils. To examine if Tat-Ras(R41K) was delivered into the cells, we used a proteinase K protection assay, which degrades extracellular, but not intracellular, proteins unless the cells are permeabilized. The Tat-Ras(R41K) fusion protein was taken up in a dose-dependent manner, and Ras was not detected in Triton X-100 permeabilized neutrophils (Figure 4A), indicating that the Tat-Ras(R41K) fusion protein was protected from proteolysis, and therefore intracellular.

Delivery of Tat-Ras(R41K) into human neutrophils prior to infection with the exoT(A-) strain resulted in a dose-dependent increase in ROS production, indicating that Tat-Ras(R41K) was able to reverse the ExoS-dependent block in ROS production (Figures 4B and S4A). ROS production did not reach the level induced by the exoST(A-) double mutant. This could be due to the presence of endogenous wild-type Ras, or it might indicate that a second target of ExoS also contributes to the block in ROS production. Delivery of either Tat-Ras(R41K) on its own, or the unrelated Tat-fusion protein, Tat-GFP, did not induce ROS production (Figure S4B). Tat-GFP, unlike Tat-Ras(R41K), did not reverse the ExoS-dependent block in ROS production (Figure S4C). While delivery of wild-type Tat-Ras also resulted in increased ROS production (Figure S4D), this was only significant at the highest concentration tested, arguing that preventing ADP-ribosylation of Ras on Arg41 specifically interfered with the ExoS-dependent block in ROS production.

ROS production by neutrophils plays a major role in pathogen clearance, which is highlighted by chronic granulomatous disease patients (CGD), who have genetic defects that inactivate the phagocytic NADPH oxidase (Heyworth et al., 2003). While P. aeruginosa is not among the most common pathogens afflicting CGD patients, epidemiological data suggest that this patient group is also more susceptible to P. aeruginosa infections (Liese et al., 2000; Soler-Palacín et al., 2007; Winkelstein et al., 2000). Using CGD mice that do not express gp91, we found that ROS production is needed to control P. aeruginosa replication in the cornea. Moreover, we demonstrate here that the T3SS is not required for survival in the cornea in CGD mice, despite massive influx of neutrophils to the site of infection. This finding indicates that an important function of the T3SS is to prevent ROS production by neutrophils. Indeed, we found that P. aeruginosa ExoS and ExoT can each independently block ROS production in neutrophils. Inhibition or ROS production depends on the
ADP-ribosyltransferase activities of ExoS and ExoT, which block the NADPH oxidase signaling cascade.

This efficient inhibition of neutrophil ROS production is unusual. Most pathogens survive the effect of ROS by producing superoxide dismutases, catalases, and peroxidases to detoxify ROS (Andisi et al., 2012; Aussel et al., 2011; Karavolos et al., 2003). Inhibition or reduction of ROS production has been described (McCaffrey et al., 2010; Smirnov et al., 2014), but the molecular mechanism is unknown. Salmonella enterica sv. Typhimurium uses the SPI2 secretion system to not actually block ROS production, but instead prevent localization of ROS production to the phagosome (van der Heijden et al., 2015; Vazquez-Torres et al., 2000), thereby reducing the exposure of intracellular bacteria to ROS. This reduction in exposure to ROS may work hand-in-hand with periplasmic superoxide dismutase (De Groote et al., 1997) and a set of cytoplasmic catalases, peroxidases, and thiol-reducing systems that protect Salmonella from ROS-mediated oxidative damage and are needed for survival in animal models of infection (Aussel et al., 2011; Bjur et al., 2006). S. pyogenes streptolysin O inhibits ROS production (Uchiyama et al., 2015), but the reduction is comparatively minor. It is possible that pore formation in the phagosome membrane is sufficient to partially interfere with ROS production, which could also explain the translocation-pore-dependent reduction in ROS production that we observed. However, P. aeruginosa strains lacking all effectors are as defective in mouse models of infection as strains lacking the translocon or the T3SS entirely (Lee et al., 2005; Shaver and Hauser, 2004; Sun et al., 2012), arguing that the effector-mediated block in ROS production is the key factor that leads to virulence.

In the current study, we examined the molecular mechanism by which P. aeruginosa type III secreted effectors inhibit ROS production. Specifically, we demonstrate that ExoS interferes with the signaling cascade that mediates NADPH oxidase assembly by ADP-ribosylating Ras on arginine 41. Delivery of the ribosylation-resistant Ras(R41K) into primary human neutrophils restored ROS production and resulted in increased killing of P. aeruginosa. This result highlights the intimate relationship between the effector-mediated block in ROS production and the ability of P. aeruginosa to survive in neutrophils. We also demonstrate that ADP-ribosylation of Ras interferes with its ability to bind to PI3K, a step that is critical for activation of PI3K.

Ras(R41K) inhibited the ExoS-dependent block in ROS production, albeit incompletely. This may be a consequence of endogenous Ras, which is still susceptible to ADP-ribosylation by ExoS, or may be due to the presence of a second target of ExoS. Possible candidates are Ezrin-Radixin-Moesin (ERM) proteins as they are high-affinity targets for ExoS and regulate phagosome maturation in both macrophages and dendritic cells (Erwig et al., 2006; Maresso et al., 2007). Rab5, another known target of ExoS, directs the intracellular fusion of granules with pathogen-containing phagosomes in neutrophils (Perskvist et al., 2002). ExoS could also target an as yet uncharacterized, neutrophil-specific protein. The target of ADP-ribosylation by ExoT in neutrophils is similarly unclear. While Crk proteins are targets of ExoT, and activate Rac via the Elmo1-Dock180 complex, this would not explain the defect in PI3K signaling we observed, suggesting that more targets of ExoT-ADP-ribosylation remain to be discovered.

The block in ROS production is clearly important for mediating the survival of P. aeruginosa in neutrophils. However, ExoS and ExoT likely also contribute to P. aeruginosa pathogenesis by mechanisms other than blocking ROS production. For example, the ADP-ribosyltransferase activities of ExoS and ExoT induce apoptosis in infiltrating neutrophils (Sun et al., 2012). Also, both effectors are anti-phagocytic (Frithz-Lindsten et al., 1997), which was recently observed in vivo, in a mouse model of lung infection (Rangel et al., 2014). While we saw no effect on uptake in our in vitro experiments, our infection period was very short. A plausible explanation is that the first bacteria that encounter the infiltrating neutrophils are in fact phagocytosed. T3SS-mediated injection of ExoS and ExoT might then block phagocytosis of subsequently attaching bacteria. The combined activities of inhibiting phagocytosis and inducing apoptosis could contribute to the overall survival of the infecting population of bacteria. Clearly, however, neither mechanism contributes much to the ability of P. aeruginosa to survive if the host is incapable of mounting an effective reactive oxygen species burst. Our data therefore argue that blocking ROS production is a critical function of ExoS and ExoT in subverting the anti-microbial activity of neutrophils.
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# STAR METHODS

## KEY RESOURCES TABLE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>REAGENT or RESOURCE</th>
<th>SOURCE</th>
<th>IDENTIFIER</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Antibodies</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anti-Akt rabbit polyclonal</td>
<td>Cell Signaling Technology</td>
<td>9272; RRID: AB_329827</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phospho-Akt rabbit polyclonal</td>
<td>Cell Signaling Technology</td>
<td>9275; RRID: AB_329828</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p40phox</td>
<td>Santa Cruz Biotechnology</td>
<td>Sc-48388; RRID: AB_627989</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phospho-p40phox rabbit polyclonal</td>
<td>Cell Signaling Technology</td>
<td>4311; RRID: AB_330690</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ras rabbit polyclonal</td>
<td>Cell Signaling Technology</td>
<td>3965; RRID: AB_2180216</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GRB2 rabbit polyclonal</td>
<td>Cell Signaling Technology</td>
<td>3972; RRID: AB_10693935</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Streptavidin APC</td>
<td>eBioscience</td>
<td>17-4317-82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goat anti-Rat IgG (H+L) Cross-Adsorbed Secondary Antibody, Alexa Fluor 488</td>
<td>Life Technologies</td>
<td>A-11006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Bacterial Strains</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAO1F (wild-type PAO1)</td>
<td>Bleves et al., 2005</td>
<td>RP1831</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAO1F ΔpscD</td>
<td>Sun et al., 2012</td>
<td>RP1903</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAO1F ΔexoS</td>
<td>Sun et al., 2012</td>
<td>RP1883</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAO1F ΔexoT</td>
<td>Sun et al., 2012</td>
<td>RP1945</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAO1F ΔexoST</td>
<td>Sun et al., 2012</td>
<td>RP1947</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAO1F ΔexoS,ΔexoT,ΔexoY (Δ3TOX)</td>
<td>Cisz et al., 2008</td>
<td>RP1949</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAO1F ΔpopBD</td>
<td>Sun et al., 2012</td>
<td>RP2750</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAO1F exoS(ADPR-(-)</td>
<td>Sun et al., 2012</td>
<td>RP5481</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAO1F exoT(ADPR-(-)</td>
<td>Sun et al., 2012</td>
<td>RP6202</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAO1F exoS(GAP-)-exoT(GAP-)</td>
<td>Sun et al., 2012</td>
<td>RP6203</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAO1F exoS(ADPR-(-)-exoT(ADPR-(-)</td>
<td>Sun et al., 2012</td>
<td>RP6205</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pP25-GFPo constitutive GFP producing plasmid, CarbR</td>
<td>Goodman et al., 2004</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BL21/pET28b-TAT-Ras</td>
<td>This study</td>
<td>RE9356</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BL21/pET28b-TAT-Ras(R41K)</td>
<td>This study</td>
<td>RE9357</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Chemicals, Peptides, and Recombinant Proteins</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Luminol</td>
<td>Sigma-Aldrich</td>
<td>123072</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Superoxide dismutase from human erythrocytes</td>
<td>Sigma-Aldrich</td>
<td>S9636</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Catalase from human erythrocytes</td>
<td>Millipore</td>
<td>219008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ficoll-Paque Plus (density 1.077 g/ml)</td>
<td>GE Healthcare</td>
<td>17-1440-03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dextran from Leuconostoc spp. (MR 450,00-650,000)</td>
<td>Sigma-Aldrich</td>
<td>31392</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1x RBC Lysis Buffer</td>
<td>eBioscience</td>
<td>00-4333-57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100x Protease Inhibitor Cocktail</td>
<td>Cell Signaling Technology</td>
<td>5871</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10x Cell Lysis Buffer</td>
<td>Cell Signaling Technology</td>
<td>9803</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DAPI Solution 1mg/mL</td>
<td>Pierce</td>
<td>62248</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human PI3K (p120), Active full length recombinant protein expressed in SF9 cells</td>
<td>SignalChem</td>
<td>P29-10H</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NAD</td>
<td>Sigma-Aldrich</td>
<td>N1636</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human 14-3-3 Zeta, histidine tagged</td>
<td>Sigma-Aldrich</td>
<td>Z3402</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sulfo-NHS-LC-Biotin</td>
<td>ThermoFisher</td>
<td>21327</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PI3K inhibitor (AS-605240)</td>
<td>Selleckchem</td>
<td>S1410</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PI3K inhibitor (GDC-0941)</td>
<td>Selleckchem</td>
<td>S1065</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Critical Commercial Assays**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>REAGENT or RESOURCE</th>
<th>SOURCE</th>
<th>IDENTIFIER</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Active Ras Detection Kit</td>
<td>Cell Signaling Technology</td>
<td>8821</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Continued on next page)
CONTACT FOR REAGENT AND RESOURCE SHARING

Further information and reagent requests may be directed to the lead contact, Arne Rietsch (arne.rietsch@case.edu).

EXPERIMENTAL MODEL AND SUBJECT DETAILS

Mice were housed and maintained according to institutional guidelines and the Association for Research in Vision and Ophthalmology Statement for the Use of Animals in Ophthalmic and Vision Research. The corneal infection protocol was approved by the CWRU Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee [protocols #2012-0105 (E.P.) and #2013-0055 (A.R.)], as well as at the University of California, Irvine, [protocol #2016-3200-0 (E.P.)]. The protocol for the use of human peripheral blood from normal healthy volunteers was approved by the Institutional Review Board of University Hospitals of Cleveland (protocol 01-15-43). Informed consent was obtained from each volunteer.

METHOD DETAILS

Bacterial Strains and Culture Conditions

All strains and plasmids used in this study have been described previously and are listed in the Key Resources Table. *P. aeruginosa* was cultured in high salt LB to mid-log (10 g of tryptone, 5 g of yeast extract, and 11.7 g of NaCl per L, supplemented with 10 mM MgCl₂ and 0.5 mM CaCl₂) with 5mM EGTA to induce production of the T3SS (Rietsch and Mekalanos, 2006).

In Vivo Model of Corneal Infection

Five week old female C57BL/6 and Cybb<sup>tm1Din</sup> (gp91<sup>phox</sup>−/−) mice were purchased from Jackson Laboratory. Mice were anesthetized by i.p. injection of 0.4 mL 2,2,2-tribromoethanol (1.2%). Three parallel 1-mm–long abrasions in the central cornea were applied using a 26-gauge needle, and a 2.5 mL aliquot containing 10<sup>5</sup> bacteria was placed on the corneal surface as described (Sun et al., 2010). Images of corneal opacity were taken at 24hr post infection. At 24hrs post infection whole eyes were homogenized using a Mixer Mill MM300 (Retsch) at 33 Hz for 4 min. Serial log dilutions were plated onto brain heart infusion agar plates (BD Biosciences), and CFU were determined after overnight incubation at 37°C. Eyes from control mice were homogenized at 2 hr post infection to determine the starting inoculum. Quantification of corneal opacity, histology- and immunohistochemistry methods are outlined below.

Corneal Opacity Quantification

Corneal opacity was quantified as previously described (Leal et al., 2010; Sun et al., 2012). Mouse corneas were illuminated using a gooseneck fiber optic light source and constant light levels were maintained during image acquisition. Twenty-four-bit color images were captured with a SPOT RTKE camera (Diagnostic Instruments) connected to a Leica MZF III stereo microscope. Image analysis was performed using Metamorph Imaging software (Molecular Devices). All images were captured using the same exposure time. Naïve mice were used to acquire images of the iris and these images were used to generate a color threshold for the iris. This iris color threshold was then applied to the experimental images and set to an intensity of zero thus effectively eliminating the iris from the subsequent analysis process. To eliminate areas of reflective glare on experimental images saturated pixels were identified and then set to zero thus eliminating these pixels from the subsequent analysis. A circular region of constant area was applied to the modified experimental image and centered on the cornea. Integrated intensity values were then obtained from within the circular region and recorded as the opacity value for each mouse cornea. More opaque corneas display a greater value of integrated intensity when compared to less opaque corneas.
Histology and Immunohistochemistry

Whole eyes were fixed in 10% phosphate buffered formalin, paraffin embedded, and sectioned. For immunohistochemistry, sections were treated with proteinase K (DakoCytomation) and blocked in 1.5% serum. Corneal sections were stained with anti-mouse neutrophil antibody NIMP-R14 (20 µg/ml), followed by staining with Alexa Fluor 488 goat anti-rat IgG (1:1000, Life Technologies), and DAPI (Life Technologies). Hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) staining was performed by the Case Western Reserve University Visual Science Research Center histology core.

ROS Measurement

Human neutrophils were incubated with 500µM luminol (Sigma), 50U of superoxide dismutase (SOD) (Sigma), and 2,000U catalase (Milipore) for 15 min. Cell were then dispensed into black-wall 96 well plates with an optically clear bottom (CoStar 3720) and infected with Pseudomonas aeruginosa at MOI 30. Chemiluminescence was measured every 2 min for 90 min (Synergy HT; Biotek).

Isolation of Peripheral Blood Neutrophils

Human neutrophils were isolated from normal, healthy donors by Ficoll-Paque Plus (GE Healthcare) density centrifugation. Peripheral blood (20 ml) was obtained and layered onto 3% dextran in PBS (Sigma-Aldrich). Red blood cells (RBCs) were separated from whole blood via incubation at 4°C for 20 min. The top clear layer containing leukocytes was overlaid onto 10ml of Ficoll-Paque Plus in a fresh 50 mL conical tube. The cell suspension was centrifuged at 500xg for 20 min at 4°C to separate mononuclear cells from neutrophils and the remaining RBCs. The overlying plasma and monocyte layers were aspirated, and the neutrophil/RBC pellet was re-suspended in RBC Lysis Buffer (eBioscience) (8.3 g NH4Cl, 1 g KHCO3, 0.09 g EDTA/1 l ddH2O), incubated at 37°C for 10 min to lyse remaining RBCs, and spun at 300xg for 5 min at 4°C. The lysis procedure was repeated as needed to obtain sufficient rbc lysis in cell preparations. Subsequently, cells were washed twice in PBS and re-suspended in RPMI1640 plus l-glutamine without phenol red (Hyclone). The neutrophil cell suspension was counted using a hemocytometer, and samples were collected by Cytospin and stained by Wright-Giemsa (Fisher). Using this approach, neutrophils were routinely found to be greater than 97% of the final cell preparation. Donor population is composed of 60% female and 40% male with ages ranging from 22-60 years old.

Western Blot Analysis

Cells were lysed in ice-cold lysis buffer (Cell Signaling Technology), 1mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride (PMSF), and protease inhibitor cocktail (Cell Signaling Technology). Cell lysate was obtained following rapid centrifugation for 5 min at 4°C. Equal amounts of proteins were separated by SDS-PAGE on a 10% or 12% polyacrylamide gel (Bio-Rad), transferred to polyvinylidene difluoride (PVDF) membrane, blocked with 5% BSA (Fisher, Pittsburgh PA) in TBS-T (25 mM Tris, 0.15M NaCl, 0.05% Tween-20, pH 7.5). Primary antibodies were detected using horseradish peroxidase (HRP)-conjugated secondary antibodies and a chemiluminescent detection reagent (Western Bright Quantum [Advantaj]). Antibodies to pAkt, Akt, p-p40phox, Ras and GRB2 were purchased from Cell Signaling Technology and p40phox from Santa Cruz Biotechnology. Blots were imaged using a GE ImageQuant LAS 4000 digital imaging system. Scanned images were processed for brightness and contrast using only the levels function of Adobe Photoshop applied to the entire image before cropping.

Ras Activity Assay of Neutrophils

GTP-loaded Ras was quantified by GST-Raf-RBD pull-down assay (Cell Signaling Technology). Human neutrophils (1x10^7 cells) were incubated with P. aeruginosa (MOI 30) for 25 min in serum-free RPMI 1640 at 37°C. Cells were then centrifuged and lysed in ice-cold lysis buffer (Cell Signaling Technology), 1mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride (PMSF), and protease inhibitor cocktail (Cell Signaling Technology). The cell lysate was obtained following rapid centrifugation for 5 min at 4°C. Equal amounts of cell lysate were incubated with GST-Raf-RBD and glutathione agarose beads for 1.5 hr at 4°C with light shaking. Bound proteins were washed 3x with ice-cold lysis buffer, eluted with SDS sample buffer, boiled at 95°C for 5 min, and detected by western blot.

Tat Fusion Protein Production

BL21 pET28b-Tat-Ras (strain RE9356) and BL21 pET28b-Tat-Ras (R41K) (strain RE9357) were grown overnight in 5ml LB (10 g of tryptone, 5 g of yeast extract, 10 g NaCl per liter) with kanamycin (50µg/ml) and chloramphenicol (30µg/ml). The overnight cultures were diluted 1:250 into 1L of 2xYT (16 g tryptone, 10 g yeast extract, 5g NaCl, and 4ml 1M NaOH per liter) and grown at 37°C with shaking to mid-logarithmic phase. Cells were then induced with 100µM IPTG and cultures were incubated overnight at room temperature with shaking. Bacteria were pelleted (10 min at 7000 RPM) and re-suspended in 100ml sonication buffer (20mM Tris pH 7.4, 5mM MgCl2, 200mM NaCl, 0.5mM DTT, and 1mM PMSF). 50ml aliquots were centrifuged again, the supernatant discarded, and each cell pellet was re-suspended in 20ml chilled sonication buffer. An aliquot was divided into four 15ml conical tubes and sonicated on ice for 8 min (30 s on, 30sec off).

Pooled lysates were centrifuged for 10 min at 7000RPM and supernatants were moved to 20ml BioRad Econo-Pac chromatography column (BioRad). After washing 3x with sonication buffer, 500µl of Ni-NTA beads (QIAGEN) were added to the supernatant, and place on a rocker at 4°C for 2hrs. The flow through fraction was collected and beads were washed 3x with wash buffer.
(20mM Tris pH 7.4, 5mM MgCl2, 200mM NaCl, 0.5mM DTT, and 10% glycerol). Bound protein was eluted with 3x1ml elution buffer (wash buffer supplemented with 200mM Imidazole + 1mM GDP), and then 1ml elution buffer containing 500mM Imidazole.

Purified Tat-fusion proteins were dialyzed in G-Bioscience Tube-O-Lyzers (Medi, 8K MWCO) against RPMI1640 plus L-glutamine without phenol red (HyClone) at 4°C with stirring. For each 4ml of eluted protein, the first dialysis step was against 500mL RPMI1640 for one hour after which the tubes were moved to a second, overnight dialysis step against fresh 500mL RPMI1640. The protein solution was removed from the Tube-O-Lyzer, syringe filtered, and 1mM GDP was added. The protein sample was then moved to an Amicon Ultra-4 Centrifugal Filter unit (Regenerated cellulose, 3,000 NMWL, Milipore) and centrifuged at 4500RPM at 4°C until the sample was concentrated to 200μl. The protein concentration was determined by Bradford protein assay (BioRad) and samples stored at 4°C. All Tat-fusion proteins contain a human influenza hemagglutinin (HA) tag.

**GTP-Loading of Ras**

Purified HA-Tat-Ras and HA-Tat-R41K Ras were diluted in 100ul GTP buffer (10mM Tris pH 7.5, 20mM NaCl) to a final concentration of 10μM. 2mM of non-hydrolysable GTP was added and incubated for 45 min at room temperature. GTP loaded Ras was stored at 4°C.

**In vitro ADP-ribosylation of Ras**

HA-agarose beads (Sigma Aldrich) were blocked in 5% BSA Kinase buffer (50mM HEPES pH 7.4, 150mM NaCl, 5mM EDTA, 5mM dithiothreitol, 10mM MgCl2, 0.01% Triton X-100) for one hour at 4°C. 1.6μM purified GTP-HA-Tat-Ras or GTP-HA-Tat-R41K Ras were added and incubated for two hours at 4°C with rocking. HA-agarose beads were centrifuged at 1000 RPM for one minute, washed with 1ml kinase buffer, centrifuged again, and the supernatant was removed using a 30-gauge needle. Beads were then re-suspended in 80μl 5% BSA kinase buffer and divided into two separate tubes. 0.8μM purified ExoS, 200μM NAD (Sigma-Aldrich), and 3.2μM human recombinant 14-3-3 zeta (Sigma-Aldrich) were added to ADP-ribosylate Ras where indicated. Samples of un-modified Ras and ADP-ribosylated Ras were brought up to a final volume of 100ul with kinase buffer. The tubes were then placed in the dark with end over end mixing and incubated with for 6.5 hr at room temperature. After incubation, tubes were stored in 4°C.

**Cell-Free PI3Kγ Affinity Assay**

Unmodified and ADP-ribosylated Ras and R41K Ras were mixed with 0.08μM purified PI3Kγ (SignalChem) in a total volume of 500μl. Tubes were then placed on a rocker to incubate for 30 min at room temperature, then at 4°C for an additional 30 min. Mixtures were washed 5x at 1000RPM for one minute with 1ml ice cold kinase buffer. After washing, residual kinase buffer was removed with a 30-gauge needle, beads were re-suspended in 1x sample buffer, and boiled at 95°C for five minutes.

**Proteinase K Protection Assay**

Human neutrophils (1x10⁶) were incubated in 1ml serum-free RPMI 1640 with 0.01, 0.03, 0.1, 0.3, 1, or 3μM Tat-Ras(R41K) for 30 min. Cells were then pelleted at 300 g for five minutes and supernatants were aspirated. Samples were re-suspended in 100ul of 1×PBS and incubated for 15 min at room temperature in the presence of 250μg/ml proteinase K and, where indicated, 0.1% Triton X-100 (final). Proteinase K was inactivated through the addition of phenylmethane sulfonyl fluoride (PMSF, 1mM for 5 min at room temperature), samples were mixed with SDS sample buffer and boiled.

**In Vitro Neutrophil Survival Assay**

Human neutrophils (1x10⁶) were incubated in 2ml serum-free RPMI 1640 at 37°C with 3x10⁸ bacteria for 15 min, media was replaced with RPMI1640 containing 400μg/ml gentamicin and incubated for an additional 30 min to kill extracellular bacteria. Cells were subsequently washed twice with 1×PBS and immediately lysed using 0.1% Triton X-100 (Sigma-Aldrich). Surviving CFU were quantified by serial log dilutions, plated on LB plates.

**Bacterial Uptake by Human Neutrophils**

GFP+ P. aeruginosa were cultured in high salt LB (10 g of tryptone, 5 g of yeast extract, and 11.7 g of NaCl per L, supplemented with 10 mM MgCl2 and 0.5 mM CaCl2) with 5mM EGTA to induce production of the T3SS. Bacteria were then pelleted and re-suspended in 1ml PBS containing 0.5mg/ml sulfosuccinimidyl-6-(biotinamido) hexanoate (Sulfo-NHS-LC-Biotin, Fisher) to a final concentration of 2x10⁵ cells/ml. P aeruginosa were incubated at room temperature with end over end mixing for one hour, after which, the bacteria were pelleted at 14,000 RPM for 5 min, washed twice with 1×PBS, and re-suspended in 1×PBS. Human neutrophils (1x10⁶) were incubated with 3x10⁷ bacteria for 15 min in 2ml serum-free RPMI at 37°C and then washed 3x with PBS. Neutrophils were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde, stained with a 1:20 dilution of streptavidin-APC (eBioscience) for one hour, washed 3x with PBS, and re-suspended in incubation buffer (0.5% BSA in 1×PBS). Cells were analyzed on an Accuri C6 flow cytometer (BD Bioscience). Gating was based upon uninfected human neutrophils subjected to the same staining protocol.
QUANTIFICATION AND STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

Experimental data analyzed for significance were from at least three independent experiments using GraphPad Prism. The statistical test used is indicated in the figure legends. Statistical significance was defined as p < 0.05. N represents animals, human donor, or experimental replicates, and is specified in the figure legends. We assumed a Gaussian distribution for our data. Accordingly, statistical significance was determined by using 1-way ANOVA with Bonferroni correction for multiple comparisons, and data are reported as mean with standard deviation. The one exception to this are the animal experiments, which can include outliers and therefore not follow a Gaussian distribution. These experiments were analyzed using a non-parametric, Kruskal-Wallis test, with Dunn’s multiple comparison correction. In these experiments, we reported median and interquartile range, since these are less vulnerable to skewing by outliers.